

SOUTHLAND DRO RESPONSES MINOR DRO RESUB 5 DATED 2/13/25

Agency	Date Entered	Comment	Issue
PLAN	02/13/2024	<p>1. Per 10-28-24 resub: Please submit a revised letter from the appropriate agency that claims the project for water resources. The one submitted by the SFWMD only acknowledges the current request and that further information is required. The last letter that was attached 12-20-23. The only way to clearly demonstrate compliance/consistency with the FLUE policy, is to provide the documentation that clearly states the intent of the project from the agency claiming the project for those purposes.</p> <p>Response: Please see letter from Drew Bartlett to Matt Eidson dated December 31, 2024.</p>	Issue
PLAN	02/07/2025	<p>2. Per the 1-27-25 resubmittal: revise the justification (pg. 5) FLUE Policy 2.3-e.3 states that mining may be permitted "only" for one of the 4 options and not a combination of. Please revise to state only one of the options. Paragraph four identifies a second primary use when only one is allowed and (pg.12) also identifies the same. Please use the statement on (pg. 16) and remove the alternative use at the bottom of same page.(pg.17) please remove alternative primary use in #9. (pg. 6) please include what standards a water resource project is built at such as depth and slopes.(pg.9 response #1 at bottom) Include the document and date of document that identifies the site as identified by SFWMD. (pg.11) submit documentation stating its a SFWMD identifying site and cite in 2nd paragraph.</p> <p>Response: The justification has been revised in accordance with the discussions in yesterday afternoon's meeting and resubmitted. As discussed in our meeting, the comprehensive plan allows excavation in the EAA only for the listed purposes, but does not limit it to only one of them. The plans that have been submitted provide the depth and slopes for the project as also shown on the cross sections submitted with this response. Please see letter from Drew Bartlett to Matt Eidson dated December 31, 2024.</p>	Issue
SURVEY	02/07/2025	<p>This Survey is not acceptable for review by this office and needs to be quality controlled by the submitting agency. Boundary course and distance information is</p>	Issue

Agency	Date Entered	Comment	Issue
		<p>illegible due to Text overwrites, Boundary Course Information is missing. Provide a revised Boundary Dimensions Capable of Verification.</p> <p>Response: A revised S&S boundary survey is submitted to address the identified overwrite and legibility defects.</p> <p>In addition, a revised legal description is provided that matches the survey boundary and includes the total area within the boundary as (8,611.52 ± acres or 375,117,644.4 Square Feet ±)</p> <p>These documents were amended to reflect the removal of 10 ac. TIITF parcel (PCN 00 36 46 05 00 000 5010) and 10 acres that are located immediately east of the TIITF parcel within (PCN 00364605000001000) from the project's boundary.</p> <p>All plans and documents have been amended to reflect the reduction in the corresponding overall area from 8,631.52 to 8,611.52 acres.</p>	
ZONING	02/13/2024	<p>Provide a copy of the SFWMD concurrent application with their comments prior to certification of this application, per Art.4.B.10.C.5.g.2); Contact SFWMD.</p> <p>Response: Article 4.B.10.C.5.g.2 refers to water control or management districts that have jurisdiction to control roads and drainage in the area, such as legislatively established 298 Districts like Indian Trail Improvement District or the Lake Worth Drainage District, so the County can coordinate and seek comments from these special districts to proposed conditions to protect their legislative interests. The project is not located within a water control or management district that controls drainage or roads serving the property. Matt Eidson's December 24, 2024 submittal to SFWMD and Drew Bartlett's December 31, 2024 response is included in this submittal. As the SFWMD is expected to be the operator of the project, the Environmental Resource Permit is required to be reviewed and issued by the DEP. Please see DEP's Third</p>	Issue

Agency	Date Entered	Comment	Issue
		<p>Request for Additional Information dated February 7, 2025 with no apparent concerns with the application.</p>	
ZONING	04/29/2024	<p>Provide the DEP Preliminary Assessment Letter with issues and comments identified; In accordance with Art.4.B.10.C.5.g.1.b) or if providing the alternative, the Environmental Resource Permit, explain how this is handled in the Justification Statement and provide permit comments as received from DEP or ERM; etc. Art.4</p> <p>Response: Please see DEP's Third Request for Additional Information dated February 7, 2025 with no apparent concerns with the application. confirming no apparent concerns. Please see updated Justification Statement.</p>	Issue
ZONING	11/08/2024	<p>Operations Statement - provide analysis for "maximum excavated surface area" to be addressed by the BCC, must provide analysis; Art.4.C.10.C.5.i.</p> <p>Response: The code provides the BCC with authority to establish the maximum excavated area allowed within the approval. The proposed overall project area is 8,611.52 acres with 6,052 acres of excavated area proposed on the plans within 13 subphases ranging from 154 to 592 acres in size. The 6,052 acres of excavated area are required to implement the ERP permit to develop the water resource facility and achieve the water storage goals of the project.</p>	Issue